

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,390	08/18/2003	George Andrew Beard	51,328	3650	
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael T. Cash 7950 Sandestin Lane			STERLING, AMY JO		
Stanley, NC 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/643,39	90	BEARD, GEORGE	E ANDREW)		
or. "	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Amy J. St	erling	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wistatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tilutory minimum of thirty (30) daill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	18 August 2003	•				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ acce the drawing(s) b prection is require	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ireau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ster No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for application number 10/643,390, Highly Absorbent Durable Coaster, filed on 8/18/03. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Publication No. 2003/0034430 to Hailey et al.

The patent to Hailey et al. discloses a coaster having a main body (4) with a top and a bottom surface and an outer edge, a synthentic felt absorbent layer (See page 1, paragraph 0022 for Coform selection, a synthetic felt) with a top surface and bottom surface and a plastic film adhesive layer (See page 1, paragraph 0022, for plastic film description) with a top surface and a bottom surface, wherein the bottom layer of the absorbent layer is affixed to the top surface of the adhesive layer and the bottom of the adhesive layer is affixed to the top of the main body with an adhesive which is stronger on the top than the bottom so that the absorbent layer may be removed from the main body (See page 1, paragraph 0022 for removable pad explanation). Hailey et al. also discloses a seating ring (6) attached to the top surface of the main body and a support ring (8) attached to the bottom surface of the main body wherein the point of attachment is very near the edge of the main body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Publication No. 2003/0034430 to Hailey et al. as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and in view of United States Patent Publication No. 2003/012250 to Dauer.

Hailey et al. discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show an embroidery of thread in a pattern woven into the absorbent layer.

Dauer shows an coaster (10) with an absorbent layer (12) which has threaded embroidery (14) woven into the absorbent layer for aesthetics and for holding layers together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Dauer to have added this threaded embroidery for aesthetic reasons or for holding the layers more firmly together.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following documents show various coasters

6715604 to Taylor

6695272 to Bomgaars

6619608 to Mulvey et al.

6596374 to Adjeleian

6578809 to Dimella

5938162 to Honjo

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 703-308-3271. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-872-9306 (formal amendments) or 703-308-3519 (informal amendments/communications).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 703-308-2168.

AJS

Amy J. Sterling

8/4/04

ANITA KING PRIMARY EXAMINER